

LPP – report from RJW

Considerations about the LPP process continue. Helen Terry is clarifying several key elements. CCC is asked by RJW to discuss the following. These are not firm proposals, just ideas for discussion.

1. Involvement of other partners

Management group	TC on behalf of CCDT & CCC 1 rep each from CCDT & CCC (not office-bearers)
Core group	Leisure centre CYP Schools Callander Enterprise Medical Practice
Wider group	As listed in Community Building document

2. Broad process

The design for consultation has these important features:

- It seeks to maximise the community engagement – breadth as well as depth.
- It begins with a very wide opening net to capture as many ideas and opinions as possible. It then narrows down to specific emerging themes.
- It is largely positive in tone (eg “a wish” rather than “a complaint”).
- It involves a mix of general open consultation and highly specific targeted consultation.
- At each stage, the community is given feedback on what has happened/emerged so far.

Background Preparation

The town co-ordinator will make an extensive preparation.

Documents, statistics	1. Where are we? What works, what doesn't? 2. Where did we want to be? What worked, what didn't? Why?
-----------------------	--

Engagement with Community

As with previous CAP processes, this is a lot of work and will be shared out. CCC is asked to recognize that there may be costs associated with doing some of this effectively. In the absence of governmental funding, CCC is asked to consider that some Airtricity funds may be applied.

Opening consultation	Focus Identification via residents in focus groups
<i>Refinement</i>	<i>Core group</i>
Focussed consultation	Individuals and organizations/groups
<i>Analysis and feedback</i>	<i>Wider group</i>
Final consultation	Whole community
<i>Reporting</i>	<i>Management group</i>

Engagement with Statutory Bodies

The processes outlined here will provide a definitive overview of the community's aspirations for Callander. The report that is generated will represent the community view. These steps take us to the point at which we engage with statutory bodies. It is important that a clear distinction is kept between the undiluted community view and whatever transpires after engaging with statutory bodies.

3. Costs

The process of creating a LPP is costly in terms of the time we ask community members to contribute. This cost is reasonably borne by people making a voluntary contribution of their time.

The process of creating a consultation structure, ideally one which will outlive the LPP itself, is not so simple. There are financial costs associated with this. CCDT have already made a substantial contribution of the costs of town co-ordinator's time.

Specifically, I request CCC decide whether to agree the principle that an Airtricity award of not more than £2000 might be made towards this process. If granted, following a successful application, that funding would be used in ways that would make a substantial long-term contribution to the Community Building remit of CCC.